

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,554	02/15/2002	Chad A. Cobbley	MTI-31591 3265		
22202	7590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER		
WHYTE HI	WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S C			TRINH, HOA B	
555 EAST W	ELLS STREET				
SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			2814		
	•				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/077,554	COBBLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Vikki H. Trinh	2814	

D (() EW (A 1D) (
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	·				
	Vikki H. Trinh	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED <u>23 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cance the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37,44-50 and 55-76</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>38-43, 51-54, 77</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>7-84</u> .						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
		HOWAF	RDWEISS				
		DDIAA					

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended claims include the phrase "a molded stiffener being molded onto and secured to the substrate without attachment with an adhesive element", which is considered new issue.